UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/708,406	03/01/2004	Ernesto Garcia	19.0372	2405	
23718 7590 04/27/2007 SCHLUMBERGER OILFIELD SERVICES 200 GILLINGHAM LANE			EXAMINER		
			SMITH, MATTHEW J		
MD 200-9 SUGAR LANI	D TV 77478		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3637		
SUGAR LAIN	D, 1A 11410	•			
			•	•	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/708,406	GARCIA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Matthew J. Smith	3637		

	Matthew J. Smith	3637	}			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; o			
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is laten no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPÉP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues f			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	• ••	·				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent cancelin			
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	∍xplanation			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce becaus			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		•			
13. ☑ Other: The examiner notes the Declaration from James I						
	LANNA MAI	****				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are not persuasive. examiner contends applicants' are not solving a drilling problem with a production solution but a data transmission, analysis, and receptoblem which is considered peripheral to the actual well operation. Thus the combination is considered analogous involving no hinds